

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/006,133	12/10/2001	Soichi Inoue	216114US2SDIV	1228
22850	7590 10/29/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ESPLIN, DAVID B	
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	<u>.</u>
		DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	n i				
Advisory Action	10/006,133	INOUE ET AL.	\mathcal{N}				
, and only , to all in	Examiner	Art Unit					
	D. Ben Esplin	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of th	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appro	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a wor appended.	nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disappı	roved by the Examin	ier.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							

June Gall

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to the claims change the scope of the claims, and would therefore require further consideration and additional searching. Regarding Applicant's argument that the Final Rejection was made prematurely, although the claims themselves were not amended, the scope of the claims was changed by Applicant's amendment to the drawings and specification in a manner that could not be reasonably expected by the Examiner. Therefore, the finality of the rejection is viewed to be proper.

2